Efficacy of Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction in Hemodialysis Patients with Anxiety and Depression: a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial
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Abstract

Background: Diseases with a chronic and debilitating nature, such as chronic kidney disease, are frequently associated with psychiatric disorders like anxiety and depression.

Objective: To assess the efficacy of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on anxiety and depression in hemodialysis patients.

Methods: In this randomized clinical trial, fifty hemodialysis patients were selected from two dialysis centers of Kashan city in Iran in 2016. The patients had desired cognitive status based on MMSE (Mini Mental Status Examination) and clinical interview, and were randomly allocated into the intervention and control groups. The intervention group (n=25) received a Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) program in addition to the health education program in eight sessions, whereas the control group (n=25) received only the health education program. To measure the anxiety and depression score before and after study, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale were used. Data were analyzed by SPSS version 11.5, using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA), t-test, Chi-square, Mann-Whitney U, and Fisher exact test.

Results: The mean age of intervention and control groups were 46.86±11.66 and 46.26±11.71 respectively. The mean duration of illness was 2.47±1.78 and 2.62±1.32 in intervention and control groups respectively. There were no significant differences between the two groups regarding age, sex, education level and job. Depression and anxiety were reduced in the intervention group compared with the control group (p=0.0001).

Conclusions: The MBSR program may reduce anxiety and depression in hemodialysis patients.
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1. Introduction
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is considered one of the major health issues worldwide. Over the past two decades, the prevalence of CKD has been increasing in both developed and developing countries (1-3). More than 60 thousand people die of kidney diseases around the world annually (4). The incidence of this disease in different regions of the world varies; in general, the incidence of this disease in most countries is more than 200 per million (5). Hemodialysis is the most common therapy and intended to maximally normalize the patient's life. The number of hemodialysis patients increases 15% in Iran every year (6). Hemodialysis is a stressful process and is associated with multiple social and psychological problems predisposing to mental disorders. Studies have shown that the most common psychological problems in hemodialysis patients are depression and anxiety (7). In a study by Nazemian, the prevalence of depression, anxiety and hidden stress was reported as 64.5%, 51.4%, and 49.7% respectively (8). According to the Nazemian study, depression can lead to hospitalization in these patients, worsen the prognosis, and increase the mortality rate (8). Depression can also be associated with suicidal ideation and poor dialysis compliance in these patients (9).

Anxiety, as the second common psychological problem in hemodialysis patients, has been studied less frequently. However, anxiety can affect the quality of life and treatment compliance of patients (10). This has further turned the attention of clinicians toward the diagnosis of psychiatric problems of hemodialysis patients and the use of medical and psychological therapies for them (11). Nevertheless, as the efficacy and safety of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs, such as citalopram) for depression in CKD patients have yet to be accepted by clinicians, its implication is relatively low and accompanied with adverse effects, such as high protein-binding, aggravated nausea, and increased bleeding tendency, which can be dangerous in CKD patients (11). Tricyclic antidepressants (such as Amitriptyline and Nortilipryline) and Monoamine oxidase inhibitors (such as Tranylcypromine) should be used with caution due to their potential side effects (e.g., arrhythmias, drug-drug interaction, hypotension) that are exacerbated in dialysis patients. SNRIs such as venlafaxine are excreted via kidneys and are not significantly removed by dialysis and may cause increased hypertension (12).

Over the past two decades, one of the psychological interventions to improve the mental health of patients with chronic diseases, which has come to attention, is the mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) program. Mindfulness is a new concept in the field of psychotherapy, which has been greatly welcomed by clinicians during the past decade (13). Mindfulness aids the patient to change their behavior towards environmental events by informing the patient of the events that are being experienced by his mind and body at present. From this point of view, one cannot control all the stressful events around the patient, but one can act more efficiently by changing the response the patient shows to those events (14). Increasing one's awareness of what one experiences at every moment and creating the spirit of acceptance and compassion, mindfulness helps people not to only change the relationship between themselves and their internal experiences but also to confront the stressful events more efficiently (15). To our knowledge, the efficacy of this intervention has not been studied in hemodialysis patients so far. Nonetheless, it has been studied in other chronic diseases including chronic pain, multiple sclerosis, cancer and cardiopulmonary disorders, which had been significant in the improvement of anxiety and depression of these patients (16-18). This study was done to examine the efficacy of MBSR program on anxiety and depression in hemodialysis patients.

2. Material and Methods
2.1. Trial design and participants
This was a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial to determine effect of MBSR on anxiety and depression in hemodialysis patients. It was conducted in Kashan, Iran from March 2015 to September 2015. The participants were chosen through convenience sampling method from Shahid Beheshti hospital and Akhavan hospital, which are the only hemodialysis centers in Kashan city. Sample size calculation was according to results of a previous randomized controlled trial (19). The calculated sample size was 16 patients for each group of intervention and controls. Considering attrition rates, we increased the required sample size to 25.
2.2. Selection criteria

2.2.1. Inclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria were hemodialysis patients referred twice weekly for three to four hours in each session for at least one year, aged 18-60 years, the Mini Mental Status Examination questionnaire (MMSE) score of 25 or more, capable of writing and reading, and their depression or anxiety disorders not due to divorce, grief or familial problems.

2.2.2. Exclusion criteria
The exclusion criteria were history of previous suicide attempt or having suicidal thoughts, taking anti-psychiatric drugs or treatment in the past six months and lack of follow up in study of more than two MBSR sessions due to physical disease problems.

2.3. Data collection, blinding and randomization
The patient recruitments were conducted by nurses of hospitals to which the patients had been referred. The study objectives were explained to the patients, and those patients who agreed with participating in the study, were asked to attend their hemodialysis center. At the start of study, a psychiatrist explained the purposes and process of the study to the patients, in more detail. Then, a clinical interview was conducted with them aimed at identifying the candidate patients. The baseline anxiety and depression status of all eligible patients was measured with standard questionnaires. A unique code was assigned for each patient then with permuted block randomization, two groups were made that comprised of 25 patients in each. The psychiatric manager was blinded in random allocation process.

2.4. Intervention
The intervention group received the mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) program performed by collaboration of an expert psychiatrist and psychologist in eight 120-minute sessions. The sessions were performed for patients, collectively. It comprised of the following stages:
- Session 1: introduction, mindfulness as a way of living, eating exercises, giving feedback and discussion about eating exercises, body scan, giving feedback and discussion about body scan
- Session 2: yoga, discussion about interaction between mindful activities, home exercises and body scan, discussion about mindful substructures
- Session 3: introduction of sitting meditation, 10-minute guided meditation, giving feedback and discussion about sitting meditation
- Session 4: yoga, 15-minute sitting meditation, five-minute watching and listening exercises
- Session 5: guided walking meditation, STOP (one-minute breathing space)
- Session 6: yoga; sitting meditation: awareness of breathing, body, sounds, and thoughts; introducing troublesome thoughts and memories; three-minute breathing space exercises
- Session 7: yoga; sitting meditation: awareness of breathing, body, sounds, and thoughts; mindfulness and compassion; loving-kindness meditation
- Session 8: conclusion, discussion about how to integrate mindfulness exercises into our lifestyle

At the beginning of intervention, the terms and conditions regarding each session were observed by the patients and the treatment contract was set. The control group received only common medical treatment. The intervention was conducted by an expert, trained, qualified psychologist. The assessment was done before and after the intervention. Following the end of sessions, an MBSR program tutorial was given to patients of the control group.

2.5. Measurements
Demographic questionnaire included; age, education level, and job status of the patients, which was filled by self-completion. To assess cognitive status of participants, the Mini Mental Status Examination questionnaire (MMSE) was applied. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression questionnaire is a concise sensitive scale to measure the anxiety and depression in a person with physical, mental or even no diseases, which includes seven questions for each anxiety and depression. Each question is scored by a four-score scale of 0 to 3, so that a maximum score of 21 is likely for each subscale of anxiety and depression. A score of 11 or more in each subscale is highly indicative of a serious psychiatric disorder, with 8-10 being intermediate, and 0-7 normal. According to results of a validation study on 167 Iranian women who had breast cancer, the internal consistency of depression and anxiety subscales in terms of Cronbach’s alpha have been reported as 0.78 and 0.68, respectively (20). The questionnaires were filled in two time lags, at the beginning and at the end of study with self-completion method.
2.6. Ethics
The study proposal was approved by the ethic committee of Kashan University of Medical Sciences (Ref: IR.KAUMS 1394.36). The protocol of study was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT) with ID number of IRCT2015081723652N1. Participating in the study or leaving during the study was voluntary. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The information sheet included the study objective, its stages, and mentioned that the participants had the right to withdraw from the study at any time.

2.7. Statistical methods
Before analysis, the normality distribution of data was checked with a histogram graph, for continuous variables. The comparability of two study groups was evaluated in regard to demographic characteristics. The between-group comparisons were conducted with t-test, Chi square and Fisher exact test, and Mann-Whitney U tests in cases of non-normality. The main analysis was conducted with ANCOVA. The P-value was set on 0.05. SPSS version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to analyze the data. To find pure MBSR effect on depression and anxiety level, the baseline scores of these variables applied as the covariate variable in the ANCOVA model. Before conducting ANCOVA, the pre-required assumptions (normality and homogeneity of variance) were checked.

3. Results
In this study, 50 hemodialysis patients were allocated into two intervention and control groups (n=25). Six participants in the intervention group were excluded due to irregular attendance and medical problems, and also, four participants due to medical problems and lack of follow up (Figure 1). The mean age of completed followed participants was 46.8±11.6 years and 46.2±11.7 years respectively. Among these, 45% and 55% were male, in intervention and control groups. History of disease was 2.47±1.8 and 2.62±1.3 years for intervention and control groups respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, sex, education, marital status, job, anxiety and depression score and disease duration. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of patients.

According to ANCOVA analysis, the mean ± SD of depression in MBSR and control groups were 5.9±2.5 and 7.4±5.3 (F=18, p<0.001). The average score of anxiety was 4.7±2.8 and 5.5±3.2 (F=24.4, p<0.001). The results of ANCOVA analysis are presented in Tables 2 and 3. As Table 2 shows, the effect size of MBSR on depression - presented by Partial Eta Squared- was 0.34. According to Cohen’s d cut-off, it is considered as the moderate effect size. This was 0.41 for anxiety, as the moderate effect size too (Table 3).

![Figure 1. CONSORT 2010 flowchart of the trial](image-url)
Table 1. The frequency of demographic characteristics of study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>46.8 (11.6)</td>
<td>46.2 (11.7)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (mean±SD)</td>
<td>7.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>7.7 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety (mean±SD)</td>
<td>6.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>5.6 (3.6)</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (mean±SD)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male; n (%)</td>
<td>12 (44)</td>
<td>15 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female; n (%)</td>
<td>7(54)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Elementary &amp; Guidance school; n (%)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school; n (%)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High educated; n (%)</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single; n (%)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>3(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married (%)</td>
<td>14 (45)</td>
<td>17 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced &amp; Widowed; n (%)</td>
<td>2 (66)</td>
<td>1 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td>Unemployed; n (%)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employed; n (%)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disabled; n (%)</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
<td>10 (59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. ANCOVA analysis of depression score by MBSR and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Partial Eta Squared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>578.3*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>289.1</td>
<td>171.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline depression score</td>
<td>558.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>558.1</td>
<td>330.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2298.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>635.7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. ANCOVA analysis of anxiety score by MBSR and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Partial Eta Squared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>321.1a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>160.5</td>
<td>180.3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Anxiety score</td>
<td>315.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>315.4</td>
<td>354.3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1353.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>352.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: R Squared = 0.910 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.904)
a: R Squared = 0.912 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.907)

4. Discussion
In this study, we found a significant reduction in the depression and anxiety scores in hemodialysis patients following the completion of MBSR. Our findings are consistent with the results of other clinical trials in patients with chronic depression and anxiety (21, 22), normal population (23-25), and in medical patients with anxiety disorder and recovered recurrently depressed patients (21, 26, 27). The Reilly-Spong study on the effectiveness of telephone-adapted mindfulness-based stress reduction for patients awaiting kidney transplantation showed reasonable attendance rates and higher expectations in patients following the intervention, and suggested that MBSR would be of use for coping with their health problems in future (28).

A study on 14 patients with chronic disease showed that MBSR reduced blood pressure, depression level, anxiety and psychological distress (29). Williams’ study reported significant decreases in the effects of daily hassles,
psychological distress, and medical symptoms that were maintained at the 3-month follow-up compared to the control group (30). Henderson, in a clinical trial study, examined the effectiveness of the mindfulness-based stress reduction in patients with breast cancer and concluded that depression, anxiety, and coping strategies were significantly different in the intervention group compared to the control group (31). In addition, Carlson et al. found similar results among patients suffering from breast cancer. They proposed that the mindfulness-based stress management program improves depression in patients (32). A study by Omidi showed that MBSR has a positive impact on the quality of life of cardiovascular patients (33). Another study in 2015 by Omidi showed that MBSR in patients with tension headache would reduce their perceived stress and improve their psychological health (34).

The result of our study showed that MBSR has been effective in reducing depression and anxiety symptoms and increasing the quality of life in dialysis patients. Generally, we might expect MBSR to influence psychological outcomes by encouraging the patients to experience the present moment intentionally in a non-judgmental and accepting way, which is the function of mindfulness (35). Additionally, by developing self-observation, the patient can observe his/her thoughts and feelings non-reactively. Therefore, negative reactivity, repetitive negative thinking and over general autobiographical memory retrieval would decrease (36). Results from some studies show that MBSR can alter the function of the brain that is responsible for emotion regulation and the areas that govern how we react to stressful impulses (36-38). Perhaps another reason for the improvement of depression in these patients is the specification of important values and life goals, and concentrating on them instead of being occupied with routine problems and symptoms of chronic kidney disease (39).

5. Limitations
There are a number of limitations in this study. The largest limitations include the small sample of 40 subjects. The small sample size limited the amount of power necessary to detect statistical significance. The lack of information about other major health conditions that may have impacted study findings could be seen as another limitation. Future studies would benefit from gaining access to medical history to account for such underlying differences.

6. Conclusions
Overall, the present study showed that MBSR can reduce the level of depression and anxiety in hemodialysis patients. This emphasizes the necessity for psychological interventions along with pharmacologic therapy. Hence, to help patients get the most benefit from treatment, MBSR can be used along with pharmacotherapy, to decrease the anxiety and depression in hemodialysis patients.
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